

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/770,232	(02/02/2004	Phillip Sollami		9495
26449	7590	07/22/2004		EXAM	INER
JAMES N			SINGH, SUNIL		
1 S 376 SUMMIT AVE COURT C				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OAKBROOK TERRACE, IL 60181				3673	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



n --- 10/02



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

Paper No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

		document filed on $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirement of $2 - 2 - 0 + i$ s considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirement of $2 - 2$
37 CFR be comp docume amendn	1.121, as liant, cor nt must l nent doc	rection of the following item(s) is required. Only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims" section of applicant's ument must be re-submitted. 37 CFR 1.121(h).
THE FO	LLOWII 1. Amer	NG CHECKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: adments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
	2. Abstr □ □	A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
	3. Amer	ndments to the drawings:
		A. A complete listing of <u>all</u> of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other:
For furth	her expla vw.uspto.g	nation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.
this lette non-ent changes is not ex	er to support to the in the protection that the protection that the protection to the protection to the protection that the pr	•
since the ONE Min order	e amend ONTH fi to avoid	liant amendment is a reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (including a submission for an RCE), and ment appears to be a bona fide attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of rom the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
If the ar		nt is a reply to a FINAL REJECTION, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for nal rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant and an attachment.
(x)a	nda	SROWN 703. 308. 4454 Telephone No.